

**THE POLITICS OF INTERVENTION THEORY:
IDEOLOGY IN SOCIAL SCIENCE**

by

Warner Peay Woodworth

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in the University of Michigan
1974

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Richard D. Mann, Chairman
Associate Professor Mark A. Chesler
Assistant Professor James E. Crowfoot
Professor Donald N. Michael

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

An expression of appreciation is rightfully due to a number of persons who contributed to this work.

First, to those who came last, I acknowledge a debt of gratitude to members of my doctoral committee, all of whom became part of my experience at Michigan only in the last year. Although I had brief encounters with several in preceding times, it was only when the prospects of my work seemed rather dismal, when support for my interests was virtually non-existent, that my search for faculty committed to students led to those whose names appear on the title page. They provided a freedom which allowed me to think and read broadly, at my own pace, and with a criterion of helpfulness and mutuality rather than control or resistance. I am grateful to Dick Mann for his unqualified support of me as a person, for the academic confidence he instilled when the going got rough, and for his graphic insights into the issues I was trying to deal with. I appreciate the strategic observations of Mark Chesler, who responded to my needs as a total stranger yet who plunged immediately to the heart of the crucial dimensions needing to be handled and positively encouraged my own style of writing. I am indebted to Jim Crowfoot for his careful commentary and useful reactions, and for his special sacrifice of high-priority time. And I wish to thank Don Michael for braving very real risks in becoming part of this process, and for contributing much in the way of testing my arguments and balancing my writing. My own sense is that it is the dedication of faculty such as these that makes teaching and universities great. The times I spent with them, individually and collectively, were both challenging and truly delightful. Perhaps this is the most relevant criterion for engaging in dissertation work—not just that it be a vehicle to a degree or a salty old study of dissected variables, but that as scholarship and research it can be fun.

I appreciate the influence of other faculty members on my work at Michigan—in particular the friendship and analytic depth of J.B. Ritchie, the wealth of experience of Rensis Likert, and the philosophy of the late Elton McNeil.

Another source of acknowledgment centers in my family. Their spirit of enthusiasm did much to buoy me up during the difficult times. I express appreciation as a father to my three beautiful children—Anne, David, and Julie—who were much neglected during the past months, yet who remained a source of strength, sometimes boisterous, but always on target. As one of them correctly noted, their father ought to have written more concisely when the country was in the midst of a paper shortage.

It is customary for acknowledgements to end with positive expressions. I would like to mention that not everyone from whom I have sought help was encouraging. From the outset of this project, certain parties have resisted my efforts with expressions of disinterest, subtle delays, and outright attempts to block my scholastic work. While some responded with skepticism, others protested with anger. However benevolent or malintentioned their responses may have been, I wish to acknowledge them all. As Solzhenitsyn writes in his preface to *The Gulag Archipelago*: “Despite their intent and against their will, certain persons provided invaluable material for this book and helped preserve many important facts and statistics as well as the very air they breathed.” While resistance dissuaded and discouraged, it also led to an increased flow of adrenalin, a sharpening of issues, and a growing commitment to my position. In the end, efforts to interfere with my scholarship provided the ultimate validity to my criticisms of social science.

I hesitated long whether, on the one hand, I should give to the light these my commentaries ... or whether, on the other hand, it were better to follow the example of the Pythagoreans and others who were wont to impart their philosophic mysteries only to intimates and friends, and then not in writing but by word of mouth....

Copernicus
De revolutionibus
1543

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	1
Problem Statement	
Underlying Values and Significance	
The Political Context of Social Research	
Overview of the Study	
II VALUES AND THE ASSERTION OF NEUTRALITY	23
Sources of the Value-Free Myth	
Unmasking Biases in Social Research	
Consequences of Research as Intervention	
III A SEARCH FOR VALUE POSITIONS IN SOCIAL SCIENCE INTERVENTION.....	60
Purpose of Organizing	
Funding Sources	
Tasks: Data Collection and Change	
Conception of Client	
Information Sharing Versus Suppression	
Perspective on Power	
Concept of Conflict	
Assumptions About Change	
IV IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	141
Summary	
Theoretical Implications	
Implications for Intervention Practice	
Conclusion	
REFERENCES	157

This Ph.D. dissertation was produced by micro film-xerography in 1975 by:

Xerox University Microfilm,
Ann Arbor, Michigan,
U.S.A. 48106